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# ASYMPTOTIC TRAJECTORIES AND THE STABILITY OF THE PERIODIC MOTIONS OF an autonomous hamiltonian system with two degrees of freedom* 

A.P. MARKEYEV


#### Abstract

The existence of motions asymptotic to the periodic trajectories of a Hamiltonian system with two degrees of freedom is studied. It is assumed that the Hamiltonian function is time-independent and analytic in the neighbourhood of the periodic trajectories. It is noted that, under certain constraints, the conditions for the existence of asymptotic trajectories are equivalent to the conditions for orbital instability of the limiting periodic motion. As an application, the asymptotic trajectories in the problem of the motion of a dynamically symmetric rigid body relative to the centre of mass in a central Newtonian gravitational field in a circular orbit and in the problem of the motion of a heavy rigid body with a fixed point are considered.


1. Isoenergetic reduction. Let a generalized conserative system with two degrees of freedom have a T-periodic motion, distinct from the equilibrium position, and in the neighbourhood of the closed trajectory of the phase space corresponding to this periodic motion (PM), let the Hamiltonian function $H$ be analytic.

Two characteristic exponents of the system of equations of the perturbed motion, linearized in the neighbourhood of the periodic motion, are always (in the case of an autonomous Hamiltonian system) equal to zero. If the other two characteristic exponents have a non-zero real part, then the PM is orbitally unstable. If they are pure imaginary (equal to $\pm i \alpha$ ), then both orbital instability and stability are possible, depending on the type of non-linear terms in the equations of the perturbed motion. In fact, if $k \alpha \neq n \omega(\omega=2 \pi / T ; k=1,2,3,4 ;$ $n$ is an integer), we usually have orbital stability; the case $k=1,2$ correspond to the boundary of the domains of orbital stability to a first approximation, while with $k=3,4$ orbital instability is possible inside these domains. A similar description of the conditions for stability and instability may be found in $/ 1,2 /$; we shall merely remark here that they are the same as the stability and instability conditions at the isoenergetic level $\quad H=h=$ const, at which the trajectory of the PM considered lies.

To solve the prohlem of the existence of trajectories asymptotic to the PM trajectory, we observe that the asymptotic trajectories must correspond to the same value of the constant $h$ as does the PM trajectory. At this fixed energy level, the equations of motion (whittaker equations) have the form of the Hamilton equations $/ 3 /$. Let us obtain these.

We can always choose $/ 4 /$ (though in general this is extremely difficult) the canonical conjugate variables $q_{i}, p_{i}(i=1,2)$ in such a way that the PM corresponds to their values

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}=\omega t+q_{10}, \quad p_{1}=q_{2}=p_{2}=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is time, and $q_{10}$ is the initial value of the coordinate $q_{1}$. The Hamiltonian function is then $2 \pi$-periodic in $q_{1}$.

It can be assumed without loss of generality that the trajectory of the PM (1.1) lies at the zero energy level $H=0$. The Hamiltonian function can be expanded in a converging series

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\omega p_{1}+H_{2}+H_{3}+H_{4}+\ldots \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{k}$ is a form of degree $k$ in $p_{1}, \psi_{2}, p_{2}$. The first three of these are required later; they are

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{2}=h_{2}+\left(a_{1} q_{2}+a_{2} p_{2}\right) p_{1}+a_{3} p_{1}^{2}  \tag{1.3}\\
& I_{3}=h_{3}+\left(b_{1} q_{2}^{3}+b_{2} q_{2} p_{2}+b_{3} p_{2}^{2}\right) p_{1}+\left(b_{4} q_{2}+b_{5} p_{2}\right) p_{1}^{2}+ \\
& \quad b_{6} p_{1}^{3} \\
& H_{4}=h_{4}+f_{3} p_{1}+l_{2} p_{1}^{2}+f_{1} p_{1}^{3}+b_{7} p_{1}^{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $h_{k}$ and $f_{l}$ are forms of degrees $k$ and $l$ respectively in $q_{2}, p_{2}$ with coefficients that are $2 \pi$-periodic in $q_{1}$; the coefficients $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are likewise $2 \pi$-periodic in $q_{1}$. From the equation $H=0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=-\tilde{K}\left(q_{2}, p_{2} ; q_{1}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $K$ can be expanded in the convergent series

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=K_{2}+K_{3}+K_{1}+\ldots \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{m}$ is a form of degree $m$ in $\quad q_{2}, p_{2}$, with coefficients $2 \pi$-periodic in $q_{1}$. For the first three forms we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{2}=\omega^{-1} h_{2}, \quad K_{3}=\omega^{-1} h_{3}-\omega^{-2}\left(a_{1} q_{2}+a_{2} p_{2}\right) h_{2}  \tag{1.6}\\
& K_{4}=\omega^{-1} h_{4}-\omega^{-2}\left(a_{1} q_{2}+a_{2} p_{2}\right) h_{3}-\omega^{-2}\left(b_{1} q_{2}^{2}+b_{2} q_{2} p_{2}+\right. \\
& \left.b_{3} p_{2}^{2}\right) h_{2}+\omega^{-3}\left(a_{1} q_{2}+a_{2} p_{2}\right)^{2} h_{2}+\omega^{-3} a_{3} h_{2}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

For practical applications it is worth noting that terms of higher than the first degree in $p_{1}$ in $H_{3}$, and terms of all degrees in $p_{1}$ in $H_{4}$, do not affect terms of up to an including the fourth degree in expansion (1.5).

Whittaker equations have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d q_{2} / d q_{1}=\partial K / \partial p_{2}, \quad d p_{2} / d q_{1}=-\partial K / \partial q_{2} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In short, in an autonomous Hamiltonian system with two degrees of freedom, the problem of the trajectories asymptotic to a closed PM trajectory reduces to the problem of the motions, asymptotic to the equilibrium position $q_{2}=p_{2}=0$, of a Hamiltonian system with one degree of freedom with a $2 \pi$-periodic dependence of the Hamiltonian function $K\left(q_{2}, p_{2} ; q_{1}\right)$ on the independent variable $q_{1}$.

If a solution $q_{2}=q_{2}\left(q_{1}\right), p_{2}=p_{2}\left(q_{1}\right)_{1}$ of Eqs. (1.7) can be found, then $p_{1}$ can be found as a function of $q_{1}$ by substituting this solution into the right-hand side of Eq. (1.4). The dependence of $q_{1}$ on $t$ can then be found by means of one quadrature from the equation

$$
d q_{1}^{\prime} d t=\omega+\partial\left(H-\omega p_{1}\right) / \partial p_{1}
$$

whose right-hand side is written as a function of $q_{1}$.
Given sufficiently small values of $\left|q_{2}\right|,\left|p_{2}\right|$, the function $\partial\left(H-\omega p_{1}\right) / \partial p_{1}$ can be as small as desired. In the problem of the trajectories, asymptotic to the PM trajectory, therefore, the coordinate $q_{1}$ can play the same role as the time $t$. To describe the asymptotic solutions of system (1.7), we shall use the results of $/ 5,6 /$.
2. Asymptotic motions in the case of real characteristic exponents. We will take the characteristic equation of the linearized system (1.7) with Hamiltonian $K_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{2}-2 A \rho+1=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation, $A$ is a constant, which can be found in the usual way /7/ from the values of the elements of the matrix of fundamental solutions of the linearized system at the point $q_{1}=2 \pi$.

If $|A|>1$, the characteristic exponents $\pm x$ of the linearized system (1.7) are real and

$$
x=(2 \pi)^{-1} \ln \left(|A|+\sqrt{A^{2}-1}\right)
$$

(Here, two characteristic exponents of the initial (unreduced) linear system of equations of the perturbed motion are also real and equal to $\ddagger x \omega$, while the pM is orbitally unstable). In this case /5/ the following canonical change of variables exists:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{2}=\varphi\left(\xi, \eta ; q_{1}\right), p_{2}=\psi\left(\xi, \eta ; q_{1}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

given by the series $\varphi$ and $\psi$, which converges in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the origin $\xi=\eta=0$ with coefficients $2 \pi$-periodic in $q_{1}$, and such that, in the new variables,
the differential Eqs. (1.7) have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \xi / d q_{1}=\partial \Gamma / \partial \eta, \quad d \eta / d q_{1}=-\partial \Gamma / \partial \xi \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The analytic function $\Gamma$ is independent of $q_{1}$, while $\xi$ and $\eta$ are contained in its series expansion as the product $\zeta=\xi \eta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{J}=\boldsymbol{x} \zeta+\ldots \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

System (2.3) with Hamiltonian function (2.4) can easily be integrated. Denoting the initial values of the variables by the zero subscript, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\xi_{\mathrm{a}} \exp \left(\Gamma^{\prime} q_{1}\right)_{\mathrm{s}} \quad \eta=\eta_{0} \exp \left(-\Gamma^{\prime} q_{1}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is the derivative of $\Gamma$ with respect to $\zeta$, evaluated for $\zeta=\zeta_{0}$.
Substituting (2.5) into (2.2), we obtain the general solution of system (1.7) in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the origin $q_{2}=p_{2}=0_{2}$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
q_{\mathbf{2}}=\varphi\left(\xi_{0} \exp \left(\Gamma^{\prime} q_{1}\right),\right. & \eta_{0} \exp \left(-\Gamma^{\prime} q_{1}\right) ;  \tag{2.6}\\
p_{2}=\psi\left(q_{1}\right) \\
\left(\xi_{0} \exp \left(\Gamma^{\prime} q_{1}\right),\right. & \eta_{0} \exp \left(-\Gamma^{\prime} q_{1}\right) ;
\end{array} q_{1}\right)
$$

Solutions asymptotic to the origin as $q_{1} \rightarrow+\infty$, are obtained from (2.6) with $\xi=0$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{2}=\varphi\left(0_{1} \eta_{0} \exp \left(-x q_{1}\right) ; q_{1}\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
& p_{2}=\psi\left(0_{2} \eta_{0} \exp \left(-x q_{1}\right) ; q_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

while the solutions asymptotic to the origin as $q_{1} \rightarrow-\infty$, are obtained from (2.6) with $\eta_{0}=0$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
q_{2}=\varphi\left(\xi_{0} \exp \left(x q_{1}\right)_{x}\right.  \tag{2.8}\\
\left.p_{2} q_{1}\right) \\
p_{2}=\psi\left(\xi_{0} \exp \left(x q_{1}\right)_{v}\right. \\
0 ;
\end{array} q_{1}\right) ~ \$
$$

The left-hand sides of Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) are series in powers of $\eta_{0} \exp \left(-x q_{1}\right), \xi_{0} \exp \left(x q_{1}\right)$ respectively; the coefficients of the series are $2 \pi$-periodic functions of $q_{1}$.

To sum up, with $|A|>1$ there are just two families of trajectories, asymptotic as $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$ to the closed PM trajectory of the initial autonomous Hamiltonian system with two degrees of frecdom. The PM is itself orbitally unstable.
3. Asymptotic motions in the case of pure imaginary characteristic exponents. Now let $|A|<1$. The characteristic exponents $\pm i \lambda$ of the linearized system (1.7) are then pure imaginary, while

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos 2 \pi \lambda=A \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(In the present case, the two characteristic exponents $\pm i \alpha$ of the unreduced linear system of equations of the disturbed motion are also pure imaginary ( $\alpha=\lambda \omega$ ), and the PM is orbitally stable to a first approximation). If $3 \lambda$ and $4 \lambda$ are not integers, then the Hamiltonian function (1.5) can be reduced by means of the change of variables $q_{2}, p_{2} \rightarrow \xi, \eta$, analytic in $\xi, \eta$, and $2 \pi$-periodic in $q_{1}$, to the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& K=\lambda r+c_{2} r^{2}+K^{\prime}\left(\xi, \eta ; q_{1}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& \left(\xi=\sqrt{2 r} \sin \varphi_{2} \quad \eta=\sqrt{2 r} \cos \varphi, \quad K^{\prime}=O\left(r^{3} / 2\right), \quad c_{2}=\mathrm{const}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If $c_{2} \neq 0$, then system (1.7) has no solutions $/ 6 /$, asymptotic to the equilibrium position $q_{2}=p_{\mathbf{2}}=0$.

In the case of third-order resonances ( $3 \lambda$ is an integer), the Hamiltonian (1.5) can be transformed /2/ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=a r^{3 / 2} \sin 3 \varphi+O\left(r^{2}\right) \quad(a=\text { const }) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a \neq 0$, then system (1.7) has just six /6/ families of solutions, asymptotic to the origin $q_{2}=p_{2}=0$; three are asymptotic as $q_{1} \rightarrow+\infty$, and the other three, as $q_{1} \rightarrow-\infty$; for sufficiently large $\left|q_{1}\right|$, the quantities $q_{2}$ and $p_{2}$ are of the order of $\left|q_{1}\right|^{1}$.

With fourth-order resonance ( $4 \lambda$ is an integer), the Hamiltonian (1.5) can be reduced to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=r^{2}(c+b \sin 4 \varphi)+O\left(r^{\circ} / 2\right) \quad(c, \quad b=\text { const }) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $|b|<|c|$, then system (1.7) has no solutions asymptotic to the origin /6/. If $|b|>|c|$, there are just eight families of asymptotic solutions: four tend to the origin as $q_{1} \rightarrow+\infty$, and four, as $q_{1} \rightarrow-\infty$; for sufficiently large $\left|q_{1}\right|$, the quantities $q_{\mathbf{g}}$ and $p_{\mathbf{z}}$ are of the order of $\left|q_{1}\right|^{-1 / 2}$.

To sum up, if $|A|<1$, and there are no third- and fourth-order resonances, and $c_{2}$ in
the Hamiltonian (3.2) is non-zero, then there are no trajectories asymptotic to the PM trajectory, and the PM is orbitally stable. Tn the case of a third-order resonance, there are six families of asymptotic trajectories (if $a \neq 0$ in (3.3)) and the PM is orbitally unstable. In the case of fourth-order resonance, either there are eight families of asymptotic trajectories (if $|b|>|c|$ ), or there are no asymptotic trajectories (if $|b|<|c|$ ); in the former case the PM is orbitally unstable, and in the latter, orbitally stable.
4. The asymptotic trajectories of the equations of the basic problem of dynamics. The (Poincare) basic problem of the dynamics of systems with two degrees of freedom is to investigate the trajectories for the canonical system of ordinary differential equations with the Hamiltonian function

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=H_{0}\left(I_{1}, I_{2}\right)+\mu H_{1}\left(I_{1}, \quad I_{2}, w_{1}, w_{2}\right)+\ldots \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is analytic in all its axguments and $2 \pi$-periodic in $w_{1}, w_{2} ; 0<\mu \ll 1$.
If $\mu=0$ the motion in the system with Hamiltonian (4.l) is given by the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i}=I_{i 0}(i=1,2), \quad w_{1}=\omega_{1}\left(I_{10}, I_{20}\right) t, \quad w_{2}=\omega_{2}\left(I_{10}, I_{20}\right) t+\sigma \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{i 0}$ and $\sigma$ are arbitrary constants, and $\omega_{i}=\partial H_{0} / \partial I_{i}$; it can be assumed without loss of generality that the initial value of $w_{1}$ is zero, since the equations of motion do not contain time explicitly, and the function $\omega_{1}\left(I_{10}, I_{\mathbf{2 0}}\right)$ can be assumed to be non-zero.

If the frequency ratio $\omega_{1} / \omega_{2}$ is a rational number, then the motion (4.2) is time-periodic with some period $T$.

If $\mu$ is non-zero but sufficiently small, the existence of $T$-periodic motions in the system with Hamiltonian (4.1) can be proved by Poincare's method $/ 8 /$. For this, we have to find the mean value $\left\langle H_{1}\right\rangle$ of the function $H_{1}$ in the unperturbed motion (4.2):

$$
\left\langle H_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} H_{1}\left(I_{10}, I_{20}, \omega_{1} t, \omega_{2} t+\sigma\right) d t
$$

Note that $\left\langle H_{1}\right\rangle$ is a function of $I_{10}, I_{20}, \sigma$.
If, with $I_{i}=I_{i 0}$, the Hessian of $H_{0}$ is non-zero and for some $\sigma=\sigma_{*}$ we have the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial\left\langle H_{\mathbf{1}}\right\rangle \partial \partial \sigma=0, \quad \partial^{2}\left\langle H_{\mathbf{1}}\right\rangle / \partial \sigma^{2} \neq 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for sufficiently small $\mu$, there exists a $T$-periodic motion which is analytic with respect to $\mu$ and transforms, for $\mu=0$, into the motion (4.2), in which $\sigma=\sigma_{*}$.

Two characteristic exponents corresponding to this motion are zero, while the other two
can be expanded in convergent series in powers of $\sqrt{\mu}: \delta=\delta_{1} \sqrt{\mu}+\delta_{2} \mu+\ldots$, where

$$
\omega_{1}^{2} \delta_{1}^{2}=\left.\frac{\partial^{2}\left\langle H_{1}\right\rangle}{\partial \sigma^{2}}\right|_{\sigma=\sigma_{*}} \cdot\left(\omega_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} H_{0}}{\partial I_{2}^{2}}-2 \omega_{1} \omega_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} H_{0}}{\partial I_{1} \partial I_{2}}+\omega_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} H_{0}}{\partial I_{1}{ }^{2}}\right)
$$

If the expression in parentheses in (4.4) is non-zero (i.e., the unperturbed system is isoenergetically undegenerate), then, under conditions (4.3), the number of values $\sigma_{*}$ for which $\delta_{1}{ }^{2}>0$ is equal to the number of $\sigma_{*}$ for which $\delta_{1}{ }^{2}<0$. Consequently, from the undisturbed PM (4.2) for small $\mu \neq 0$ are generated pairs of PM's; one PM of a pair is orbitally unstable (with $\delta_{1}{ }^{2}>0$ ), and the other (with $\delta_{1}{ }^{2}<0$ ) is orbitally stable to a first approximation.

For $\delta_{1}{ }^{2}>0$ the non-zero characteristic exponents corresponding to the perturbed PM are real and of opposite sign; in accordance with Sect.2, there are in this case just two families of trajectories, asymptotic as $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$ to the trajectory of the disturbed PM.

For $\delta_{t}^{2}<0$ the non-zero characteristic exponents are pure imaginary. If we require additionally that, for $\sigma=\sigma_{*}$ the following inequality be satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \frac{\partial^{1}\left\langle H_{1}\right\rangle}{\partial \sigma^{4}} \frac{\partial^{2}\left\langle H_{1}\right\rangle}{\partial \sigma^{2}}-5\left(\frac{\partial^{3}\left\langle H_{1}\right\rangle}{\partial \sigma^{3}}\right)^{2} \neq 0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the Poincare PM is orbitally stable, not only to a first approximation, but also in the strict non-linear statement of the problem*.(*See: Saitbattalov A.A., Poincaré periodic solutions and their stability in the problem of the motion of a rigid body under the action of gravitational moments, Candidate Dissertation, Aviation Institute, Moscow, 1984.) In accordance with Sect.3, in this case there are no trajectories asymptotic to the PM trajectory.
5. The motions of a satellite about its centre of mass, which are asymptotic to its PM generated from plane rotations. Let the centre of mass 0 of the dynamically symmetric satellite (rigid body) move over a circular orbit in a central Newtonian gravitational field. The orientation of the satellite relative to the orbital coordinate
system (its $O X, O Y$, and $O Z$ axes are directed respectively along the radius vector of the centre of mass, the velocity vector of the latter, and the binormal to the orbit) will be specified by the Euler angles $\psi, \theta, \varphi$, which are introduced in the usual way. Let $A$ and $C$ be the equatorial and polar moments of intertia of the satellite, and $\omega_{0}$ the angular velocity of the centre of mass over the orbit. As the independent variable we take the true anomaly $v=\omega_{0} t$, while the generalized momenta, canonically conjugate with $\psi, \theta$, $\varphi$, are reduced to dimensionless form with the aid of the factor $A \omega_{0}$. since $\varphi$ is a cyclical coordinate, we have $p_{\varphi}=$ const. If $p_{\varphi}=0$, plane satellite motions are possible, in which its axis of symmetry always remains in the plane of the orbit.

Iet $\left|p_{\varphi}\right|$ be smal. We shall also assume that the moments of inertia $A$ and $C$ have close values. We put $\mu=(C-A) /(2 A), p_{\varphi}=\mu \beta$, where $|\mu| \leqslant 1, \beta=O$ (1). The Hamiltonian function corresponding to the canonical differential equations which describe the motion of the satellite about the centre of mass, has the form /9/

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=1 / 2 \sin ^{-2} \theta p_{\psi}^{2}-p_{\psi}+1 / 2 p_{\theta}^{2}+\mu\left(3 \sin ^{2} \psi \cos ^{2} \theta-\right.  \tag{5.1}\\
& \left.\beta \cos \theta \sin ^{-2} \theta p_{\psi}\right)+\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

Here and below, the dots denote a set of terms of higher than the first order in $\mu$.
With $\mu=0$, the equations of motion with the Hamiltonian (5.1) have the particular
solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=1 / 2 \pi, \quad \psi=\omega v+\psi_{0}, \quad p_{\theta}=0, p_{\psi}=\sigma(\sigma=\omega+1=\text { const }) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds (for $\omega \neq 0$ ) to the motion of the satellite in which its axis of symmetry rotates in the $O X Y$ plane with angular velocity $\omega \omega_{0}$. This motion is periodic: in a time $T=2 \pi /\left(|\omega| \omega_{0}\right)$ the axis of symmetry returns to its initial position in the orbital coordinate system.

Now let $|\mu|$ be non-zero but fairly small. Then $/ 9 /$, if $1 / \omega$ is not an integer, there is a satellite motion, analytic in $\mu$, and $T$-periodic in $t$, which transforms, for $\mu=0$, into the plane rotation (5.2). This solution can be written in the form of the series

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta=1 / 2 \pi-\mu \sigma^{-1} \beta+\ldots  \tag{5.3}\\
& \psi=\omega v+\psi_{0}+\mu \cdot 3 / 4 \omega^{-2} \sin 2\left(\omega v+\psi_{0}\right)+\ldots \\
& p_{\theta}=\ldots, \quad p_{\psi}=\sigma+\mu \cdot{ }^{3 / 2} \omega^{-1} \cos 2\left(\omega v+\psi_{0}\right)+\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

When studying the stability of the motion (5.3), it was shown in /9/ that on the curves in the $\mu, \omega$ plane

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=-{ }^{3 / 5}+9 / 4 \mu+\ldots, \omega=-3-9 / 4 \mu+\ldots \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the PM is orbitally unstable. On the curves (5.4) there is the third-order resonance $3 \lambda=$ -2. Instability is also possible at resonances $3 \lambda=2 l$, where $l$ is an integer, $|l| \geqslant 2$; the curves of the resonances in the $\mu, \omega$ plane issue from the points of the $\mu=0$ axis yiven by the equation $\omega=3 /(2 l-3)(l$ is not a multiple of three, since otherwise $1 / \omega$ would be an integer). For the remaining values of $\omega$, for fairly small $|\mu|$, the PM (5.3) is orbitally stable. In particular, if $\omega>3$ or $\omega<-1$, but $\omega \neq-3$, then, for small $|\mu|$, the $P M$ (5.3) exists and is orbitally stable.

In short, in accordance with Sects. 2 and 3 , we can assert that, for fairly small | $\mu$ |, the trajectories asymptutic to the trajectury of the PM (5.3) can exist only at third-order resonance, On the basis of the algorithm given in Sects. 1 and 3, let us briefly describe the procedure for constructing the asymptotic trajectories at the resonances $3 \lambda=-2$, realized with values of $\mu$ and $\omega$ which lie on curves (5.4).

After the canonical change of variables $\psi, \theta, p_{\psi}, p_{\theta} \rightarrow q_{1}, q_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2}$, given by the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi=q_{1}+\mu \cdot{ }^{3 / 4} \omega^{-2} \sin 2 q_{1}+\ldots, \quad \theta=1 / 2 \pi-\mu \beta \sigma^{-1}+  \tag{5.5}\\
& \quad|\sigma|^{-1 / 2} q_{2}+\ldots \\
& p_{\psi}=\sigma+\mu \cdot{ }^{3 / 2} \omega^{-1} \cos 2 q_{1}+\left(1-\mu \cdot 3 / 2 \omega^{-2} \cos 2 q_{1}\right) p_{1}+\ldots \\
& p_{\theta}=|\sigma|^{1 / 2} p_{2}+\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

the Hamiltonian function (5.1) becomes periodic in $q_{1}$ (with period $\pi$, and not $2 \pi$, as must be the case in general, because of the structure of the Hamiltonian function in the present specific problem), while its series expansion in powers of $p_{1}, q_{2}, p_{2}$ is given by Eqs. (l.2) and (1.3), where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{2}=1 / 2|\sigma|\left(q_{2}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right)-\mu \cdot 3 / 2|\sigma|^{-1}\left[1-\left(2+\omega^{-1}\right) \cos 2 q_{1}\right] q_{2}^{2}+\ldots \\
& a_{1}=-\mu \beta|\sigma|^{-1 / 2}+\ldots, a_{2}=0 \\
& h_{3}=-\mu \cdot 1 / 2 \beta \sigma^{-1}|\sigma|^{-3 / 2}\left(4+\sigma^{2}-4 \cos 2 q_{1}\right){q_{2}}^{3}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

In the new variables, the PM (5.3) can be written as

$$
p_{1}=q_{2}=p_{2}=0, q_{1}=\omega v+\psi_{0}
$$

After isoenergetic reduction, we obtan Eqs. (1.7), where the function $k$ is given by Eqs. (1.5) and (1.6), in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2}=\omega^{-1} h_{2}, \quad K_{3}=\omega^{-1} h_{3}+\mu \cdot 1 / 2 \beta|\alpha|^{1 / 2} \omega^{-2} q_{2}\left(q_{2}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right)+\ldots \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using a linear, $\pi$-periodic in $q_{1}$ canonical change of variables (which becomes the identity transformation when $\mu=0$ ), we can $/ 9 /$ introduce new variables instead of $q_{2}, p_{2}$ in such a way that the quadratic part of the function $K$ takes the following form (the notation for the variables remains the same as before):

$$
K_{2}=1 / 2 \lambda\left(q_{2}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right) \quad\left(\lambda=\theta^{-1}|\sigma|-\mu \cdot g_{2} \cdot \omega^{-1}|\sigma|^{-1}+\ldots\right)
$$

The function $K_{3}$ in the first-ordex terms in $\mu$ here remains unchanged.
Let $3 \lambda=-2$, i.e., the parameters $\mu$ and $\omega$ lie on one of the curves (5.4).
Using Birkhoff's transformation $/ 4 /$, we introduce new variables $\xi, \eta$ in such a way that all the non-resonant terms are excluded from $K_{3}$. With $\mu=0$ this is the identity Eransformation, In the new variables, the hamiltonian $K$ takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& K=\lambda R+a R^{3 /} \sin \left(3 Q+2 q_{1}\right)+K^{v}\left(\xi, \eta, q_{1}, \mu\right)  \tag{5.7}\\
& \left(\xi=\sqrt{2 R} \sin \Phi, \quad \eta=\sqrt{2 R} \cos \Phi, \quad a=\mu \cdot 1 / 3 \sqrt{2} \beta \sigma^{-3}|\sigma|^{* / *}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The function $K^{\prime \prime}$ in (5.7) is a set of terms of higher than the first order of smallness in $\mu$ and higher than the third degree in $\xi, \eta$.

We again make a canonical change of variables $\Phi, R \rightarrow \Phi ; r$, given by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\lambda q_{1}+\varphi, R=r \tag{5,8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hamiltonian (5.7) then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=a r^{2 / 2} \sin 3 \varphi+K^{\prime \prime} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we neglect $K^{\prime \prime}$, we can find from the canonical equations corresponding to the Hamiltonian (5.9) the following particular solutions, corresponding to asymptotic trajectories:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi=\varphi_{\mathrm{k}}=1_{3} k x(k=1,2, \ldots, 6), r=r_{*} \\
& \left.r_{*}=4 r_{0} 12+3 a \sqrt{F_{0}} \cos 3 \varphi_{\mathrm{k}}\left(q_{1}-q_{10}\right)\right]^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

On then returning to the initial variables $q_{2}, p_{2}$ (introduced by the change of variables (5.5)), we find with an error of order $a_{i}=\max \left(|\mu| \sqrt{r_{0}}, r_{0}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{2}=\sqrt{2 r_{*}} \sin \left(\lambda q_{1}+\varphi_{k}\right), p_{2}=\sqrt{2 r_{*}} \cos \left(\lambda q_{1}+\varphi_{k}\right) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a \omega>0$, the solutions (5.10) with even $k$ correspond to the trajectories, asymptotic as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ to the trajectory of PM (5.3), while the solutions (5.10) with odd $k$ correspond to the trajectories, asymptotic to the pM (5.3) as $t \rightarrow-\infty$; if $a \omega<0$, the reverse picture is obtained.

The value of $p_{1}$ on the asymptotic trajectories can be found from (1.4), (1.5), (5.6), and (5.10). With an error of order $\varepsilon_{2}=\max \left(|\mu| r_{0}, r_{0}{ }^{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}={ }^{2 / 3} r_{3} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. (5.10), (5.11) and (5.5), give in the initial phase space $\psi, \theta_{*} p_{\psi}$, po the curves on which the trajectories asymptotic to the closed trajectory of the pm (5,3) lie. The coordinate $q_{1}$ plays the role of parameter on these curves. To find the dependence of $q_{1}$ on $t$, we have to use Eq. (1.8).
6. On the motions of a rigid body which are asymptotic to its PM and are generated from regular precessions with non-vertical axis of precession. Let the rigid body move about a fixed point in a homogeneous gravitational field. We shall assume that the principal moments of inertia of thebody for the fixed point satisfy the condition $A=B \neq C$, and that the centre of gravity aoes not lie on the axis of symmetry and is at a small distance $\mu d$ from the fixed point $(0<\mu \ll 1, d=O$ (1)).

With $\mu=0$ the body performs regular prcession (we exclude the case of equilibrium of the body and of its permanent rotations about the principal axes of inertia). Let $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ be the angular velocities of the proper rotation and precession respectively, and $\theta_{0}$ the angle between the axis of dynamic symmetry of the body and the kinetic moment vector. We also assume that the kinetic moment vector (which lies on the axis of precession) is non-vertical.

It has been shown $/ 10 /$ that, with $A=B \neq 2 C$ and $\omega_{1}=+\omega_{2}$ (i.e., cos $\theta_{0}=+C / A-$ C). two PM's are generated from each regular precession with small but non-zero values of $\mu$, one of which is orbitally unstable (since thexe exists a pair of non-zero real characteristic exponents), and the other is orbitally stable to a first approximation (there is a pair of non-zero purely imaginary characteristic exponents).

Calculations show that condition (4.5) holds for FM's which are stable to a first approximation (the calculations are particularly simple if, as in $/ 10 /$, the motion of the body is described by using the canonically conjugate Anduaille variables). Consequently, these fM's are in fact orbitally stable.

In accordance with Sect.4, for the first of these PM's there are just two families of asymptotic motions, while there are no motions asymptotic to the second PM.
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# the partial stability of motion* 

## V.I. VOROTNIKOV


#### Abstract

It is proved that the problem of stability (asymptotic stability) with respect to some of the variables, for a linear system with periodic analytic coefficients, is equivalent to the same problem with respect to all the variables, either for the same system or for an auxiliary linear system with periodic but not necessarily continuous coefficients, in less dimensions than the original system. A constructive procedure is described for constructing this auxiliary system, and the necessary and sufficient conditions are established for partial stabllity (asymptotic stability), generalizing the results of the Floquet-Iyapunov theory.

It is shown that the class of non-linear systems for which the problem of partial stability is solvable by linear approximation may be enlarged if, instead of the linear part of the original (non-linear) system, one considers a specially constructed linear approximating system which is equivalent to a certain non-linear subsystem of the original system. Constructive procedures are described for constructing such auxiliary systems, and a theorem on partial stability is proved. Well-known theorems on stability in the Lyapunov-critical cases are extended.


1. Formulation of the problem of the stability of a linear system with periodic coefficients. We consider a linear system of ordinary differential equations of perturbed motion:
